Original Article

Genetic diversity and relationship of Korean chicken breeds using 12 microsatellite markers

Yesong Kim¹, Ji Hye Yun¹, Seon Jeong Moon², Jiyeon Seong,³ and Hong Sik Kong^{1,3,4,*}

¹Department of Applied Biotechnology, The Graduate School of Hankyong National University, Anseong 17579, Korea

²Korea Institute for Animal Products Quality Evaluation, Sejong 30100, Korea

³Genomic Informatics Center, Hankyong National University, Anseong 17579, Korea

⁴Gyeonggi Regional Research Center, Hankyong National University, Anseong 17579, Korea

Received August 25, 2021

Revised September 18, 2021

Accepted September 22, 2021

*Correspondence

Hong Sik Kong

E-mail: Kebinkhs@hknu.ac.kr

ORCID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1144-016X>

This thesis is based on Yesong Kim's Master Thesis (Korean native commercial chicken identification and genetic diversity analysis using Microsatellite Marker; 2020.12).

ABSTRACT A number of Korean Chicken breeds were registered in Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS, <http://dad.fao.org/>) of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Evaluation of genetic diversity and relationship of local breeds is an important factor towards the identification of unique and valuable genetic resources. Therefore, this study aimed to analysis the genetic diversity and relationship of 22 Korean Chicken breeds using 12 microsatellite (MS) markers. The mean number of alleles for each variety was 5.52, ranging from a 3.75 (Leghorn F; NF) to a 7.0 (Ross). The most diverse breed was the Hanhyup3 (HCC), which had the highest expected heterozygosity (H_{Exp}) (0.754) and polymorphic information content (PIC) (0.711). The NF was the least diverse population, having the lowest H_{Exp} (0.467) and PIC (0.413). As a result of the principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) and factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) confirmed that Hy-line Brown (HL) and Lohmann Brown (LO) are very close to each other and that Leghorn and Rhode Island Red (RIR) are clearly distinguished from other groups. Thus, the reliability and power of identification using 12 types of MS markers were improved, and the genetic diversity and probability of individual discrimination were confirmed through statistical analysis. This study is expected to be used as basic data for the identification of Korean chicken breeds, and our results indicated that these multiplex PCR marker sets will have considerable applications in population genetic structure analysis.

Keywords: genetic diversity, Korean native chicken, microsatellite marker, relationship

INTRODUCTION

Chicken is one of the major livestock, especially for supplying proteins to human and the Korean native chicken (KNC) has been documented since approximately 2,000 years ago (Seo et al., 2013; Seo et al., 2015). But, due to their poor commercial performance, Korean native chicken breeds almost became extinct and the breeds that existed before the Korean War (1950-1953), are almost

all extinct (Seo et al., 2013; Choi et al., 2015). After the Korean War, commercial native chicken companies maintained various independent breeds while continuing production and market distribution (Seo et al., 2018). Since 1992, a KNC conservation project was launched by the National Institute of Animal Science (NIAS) in an attempt to restore local chicken breeds (Choi et al., 2015; Roh et al., 2019). So, KNC breeds and other imported and adapted breeds in the 1960s have been restored (Heo et

al., 2011; Choi et al., 2015).

NIAS has preserved two types of purebred chicken breeds: purebred KNCs, which include five breeds with different feather colors {red-brown (NR), yellow-brown (NY), gray-brown (NG), black (NL) and white (NW)} and the “imported and adapted chickens”, which includes two Rhode Island Red breeds, two Cornish breeds and two Leghorn breeds (Seo et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2019). Also, NIAS developed the Woorimatdag version 1 (WM1) and 2 (WMT). WM1 breeds were commercial, KNC breeds generated from crossbreeding fast growing native male and good tasting female with increased egg production, and WM2 breeds were modified version of WM1 breeds with increased growth rates (Park et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2015). The private native chicken breeding-stock company (Hanhyup) is responsible for more than 80% of the native chicken distribution in Korea and has maintained purebred chicken breeds (Hanhyup breeds) for commercial use for the past 60 years (Seo et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2019). Hanhyup breeds produced by mating the KNC and economically superior and naturalized breeds (Seo et al., 2017). A number of Korean chicken breeds were registered in Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS, <http://dad.fao.org/>) of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). But, at present, sufficient detailed information about these Korean chicken breeds is not available. Evaluating the genetic diversity and genetic structure of these breeds is very important step towards identifying and conserving valuable genetic resources (Suh et al., 2014).

Genetic marker polymorphisms provide a reliable method to assess the biodiversity within and among chicken breeds. Microsatellite markers or simple-sequence repeat (SSR) markers, are highly polymorphic, one to six base pair repeats, widely used since they are numerous, randomly distributed in the genome, and show co-dominant inheritance (Cheng et al., 1994; Crooijmans et al., 1996; Choi et al., 2015). Thus, microsatellites have been identified as reliable markers in chickens (Hillel et al., 2003; Tadano et al., 2007; Suh et al., 2014). The identification of these specific markers could aid the selection process for the development of native chickens that are more suitable for the chicken industry in Korea. Therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize the genetic diversity Korean chicken breeds available in Korea based on 12 microsatellite markers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal and DNA isolation

A total of 782 individual samples from 22 Korean chicken breeds: 5 breeds of broilers {Arbor Acres (AB), Black Cornish (NH), Brown Cornish (NS), Cobb, Ross}, 4 breeds of laying hens {Hy-line Brown (HL), Lohmann (LO), Leghorn F (NF), Leghorn K (NK)} and 13 breeds of Dual-purpose {Ogye (NO), Hanhyup A (HA), Hanhyup 3 (HCC), Hanhyup Z (HZ), WM, WMT, Rhode Island Red C (NC), Rhode Island Red D (ND), NR, NY, NW, NG, NL} were collected from NIAS and Hanhyup. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood samples collected from the wing veins into ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) - coated tubes. Genomic DNA extraction from blood samples the using the methods described for AccuPrep® Blood DNA Extraction Kit (Bioneer, Korea). The concentration of DNA samples was measured using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and stored at -20°C.

Microsatellite (MS) marker and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification

Previously, 27 Microsatellite markers were investigated for the discrimination of KNC and commercial KNC (Seo et al., 2015; Seo et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2019). From these results, a total of 12 MS markers were initially selected, which have high expected heterozygosity (H_{exp}) and polymorphic information content (PIC) values (Supplementary Table 1).

All 782 DNA samples were amplified using a T100™ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). The amplifications were carried out using 15 µL reaction mixtures containing genomic DNA (5-20 ng), 10 pmol primer mix, 2.5 mM of each dNTPs (GeNet Bio, Korea) and 1.5 U Hot Start Taq polymerase (GeNet Bio, Korea) which were then subjected to 30 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 1 min at 72°C.

Genotyping and statistical analysis

The amplified DNA was performed using an automated Genetic Analyzer 3730 (Applied Biosystems, USA). The genotyping reaction contained 1 µL of PCR products, 8.9 µL of Hi-Di formamide, and 0.1 µL of GeneScan500LIZ size standard in 10 µL total volume. The results were obtained using GeneMapper V 5.0 (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Table 1. Statistical analysis result of 12 ms markers

Marker	NA	H _{Exp}	H _{Obs}	PIC	F _{st} (θ)	F _{it} (F)	F _{is} (f)
ADL0293	11	0.5704	0.5841	0.5205	0.224	0.172	-0.066
ADL0304	10	0.6365	0.5805	0.5755	0.137	0.175	0.044
ADL0317	12	0.6778	0.5632	0.6292	0.187	0.364	0.218
GCT0016	15	0.6508	0.3424	0.5781	0.232	0.57	0.441
LEI0094	17	0.7063	0.6871	0.6548	0.136	0.104	-0.037
MCW0029	18	0.7034	0.7202	0.6536	0.187	0.149	-0.046
MCW0087	13	0.7149	0.6374	0.667	0.185	0.234	0.06
MCW0104	22	0.6938	0.651	0.6446	0.222	0.268	0.06
MCW0123	7	0.5123	0.5323	0.4452	0.25	0.202	-0.065
MCW0127	19	0.7416	0.6631	0.6905	0.096	0.148	0.057
MCW0145	9	0.7184	0.7451	0.6595	0.118	0.064	-0.062
MCW0330	11	0.6607	0.5654	0.599	0.216	0.277	0.078
Mean	13.667	0.666	0.606	0.61	0.183	0.231	0.058

NA, Number of Alleles; H_{Exp}, Expected heterozygosity; H_{Obs}, Observed heterozygosity; PIC, Polymorphism Information Content; F_{st}, Genetic distance; F_{it}, Total inbreeding; F_{is}, Within inbreeding.

Table 2. MNA, H_{Exp}, H_{Obs} and PIC observed across 12 MS markers in 22 Korean chicken breeds

Pop	MNA	H _{Exp}	H _{Obs}	PIC
AB	5.75	0.6878	0.6859	0.6352
COBB	6.33	0.7266	0.6008	0.6716
HA	4.08	0.6151	0.5980	0.5391
HCC	6.25	0.7541	0.7109	0.7113
HL	4.83	0.6804	0.8389	0.6179
HZ	6.25	0.7253	0.6708	0.6786
LO	4.92	0.6782	0.8674	0.6171
NC	4.17	0.5996	0.4138	0.5306
ND	4.67	0.6446	0.5241	0.5775
NF	3.75	0.4679	0.3924	0.4131
NG	5.83	0.6703	0.5956	0.6182
NH	4.67	0.5860	0.4083	0.5265
NK	3.92	0.4706	0.3663	0.419
NL	6.42	0.7414	0.6312	0.689
NO	5.25	0.6919	0.6443	0.6341
NR	6.50	0.7162	0.6054	0.6571
NS	5.00	0.6237	0.4697	0.5624
NW	6.08	0.6914	0.6480	0.6353
NY	6.75	0.7260	0.6414	0.6713
ROSS	7.00	0.7100	0.6833	0.6659
WM	6.42	0.7256	0.7092	0.6826
WMT	6.58	0.7097	0.6260	0.6619
Mean	5.52	0.666	0.606	0.61

MNA, Mean Number of Alleles; H_{Exp}, Expected heterozygosity; H_{Obs}, Observed heterozygosity; PIC, Polymorphism Information Content; Arbor Acres (AB), Cobb (COBB), Hanhyup A (HA), Hanhyup 3 (HCC), Hy-line Brown (HL), Hanhyup Z (HZ), Lohmann brown (LO), Rhode Island Red C (NC), Rhode Island Red D (ND), Leghorn F (NF), Gray Korea Native Chicken (NG), Black Cornish (NH), Leghorn K (NK), Black Korea Native Chicken (NL), Ogye (NO), Red Korea Native Chicken (NR), Brown Cornish (NS), White Korea Native Chicken (NW), Yellow Korea Native Chicken (NY), Ross (ROSS), Woorimatdag1 (WM), Woorimatdag2 (WMT).

The genotyped data were analyzed using MS toolkit software (Park, 2001) version 3.1 to calculate allele frequencies at each locus for each population, H_{Exp} , observed heterozygosity (H_{Obs}), and PIC values. The amount of inbreeding-like effects within subpopulations (genetic distance; F_{st}), among subpopulations (within inbreeding, F_{is}), and within the entire population (total inbreeding, F_{it}) were analyzed by F -statistics (Wright, 1965). Wright's F -statistics were computed according to Weir and Cockerham using FSTAT software (Weir and Cockerham, 1984). The Neighbor - Joining method was used to construct a phylogenetic tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) was conducted for 22 chicken breeds using GenALEx 6.4 program. The Factorial correspondence analysis (FCA), which is a weighted principal component analysis, was performed using the allele frequency data for the individuals of all 22 breeds and the 12 MS markers using GENETIX software (Belkhir, 2003; Tania et al., 2006).

RESULTS

Polymorphisms of microsatellite markers

The number of alleles, H_{Exp} , H_{Obs} , and PIC values for the 12 markers used in this study summarized in Table 1. A total of 164 different alleles were detected, ranging from 7 (MCW0123) to 22 (MCW0104) and the mean number of alleles (MNA) was 13.667. H_{Exp} and H_{Obs} ranged from 0.512 (MCW0123) to 0.742 (MCW0127) and 0.342 (GCT0016) to 0.745 (MCW0145), with mean value of 0.666 and 0.606, respectively. PIC values ranged from 0.445 (MCW0123) to 0.691 (MCW0127), with a mean value of 0.610. Estimation of genotypic diversity in heterozygosity and PIC value of MS markers were previously used for determining animal breed selection (Berthouly et al., 2008; Choi et al., 2019). For the animal traceability, $PIC > 0.5$ and $H_{Exp} > 0.6$ are the most reasonable informative locus for application in genetics (Botstein et al., 1980; Jung et al., 2021).

F -statistic were estimated in a fixation index as genetic differentiation (F_{st}), the global heterozygote deficit among 22 chicken breeds (F_{it}), and the heterozygote deficit within the breed (F_{is}) among the 12 MS markers (Table 1). Among these markers, F_{st} values ranged from 0.096 (MCW0127) to 0.232 (GCT0016); F_{it} values ranged from 0.064 (MCW0145) to 0.364 (ADL0317) and the F_{is} ranged from -0.065 (MCW0123) to 0.218 (ADL0317). The estimated mean

value of the F_{st} , F_{it} , and F_{is} were 0.183, 0.231 and 0.058, respectively (Table 1).

The breed statistics generated by the 12 microsatellite markers in 22 chicken breeds are shown in Table 2. The mean NA for each variety was 5.52, ranging from a 3.75 (NF) to a 7.0 (ROSS). The most diverse breed was the HCC, which had the highest H_{Exp} (0.754) and PIC (0.711). The NF was the least diverse population, having the lowest H_{Exp} (0.467) and PIC (0.413).

Genetic distance among Korean Chicken breeds

Fig. 1 illustrates the population relationships based on the PCoA using individual multilocus genotypes of 12 MS markers. The first and second components contributed 31.48% and 25.29%, respectively, and the third component contributed 15.8%. Clearly, by the first component, Leghorn (NF, NK) was confirmed to be separated from the other groups. Cornish (NS, NH) was confirmed near the KNC, HL and LO by the second component. And it showed that HL and LO are genetically very close by the variance of first and second components.

Also, we conducted FCA, using allele frequencies of the 12 MS markers, as an alternative approach to understand the genetic relationships among breeds (Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows close relationship among individuals which belong to the KNC, Cornish, and NO, and it was the leghorn (NK,

Fig. 1. PCoA of allele frequencies from 12 MS marker typed in 22 population using the GenALEx. The population acronyms are as follows ; Arbor Acres (AB), Cobb (COBB), Hanhyup A (HA), Hanhyup 3 (HCC), Hy-line Brown (HL), Hanhyup Z (HZ), Lohmann brown (LO), Rhode Island Red (RIR) [Rhode Island Red C (NC), Rhode Island Red D (ND)], Leghorn F (NF), Gray Korea Native Chicken (NG), Black Cornish (NH), Leghorn K (NK), Black Korea Native Chicken (NL), Ogye (NO), Red Korea Native Chicken (NR), Brown Cornish (NS), White Korea Native Chicken (NW), Yellow Korea Native Chicken (NY), Ross (ROSS), Woorimatdag1 (WM), Woorimatdag2 (WMT).

Fig. 2. Factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) for 12 microsatellite loci genotype in 22 population using GENETIX ver. 4.05. Population1: Arbor Acres, Population2: Cobb, Population3: Hanhyup A, Population4: Hanhyup 3, Population5: Hy-line Brown, Population6: Hanhyup Z, Population7: Lohmann Brown, Population8: Rhode Island Red C, Population9: Rhode Island Red D, Population10: Leghorn F, Population11: Gray Korea Native Chicken, Population12: Black Cornish, Population13: Leghorn K, Population14: Black Korea Native Chicken, Population15: Ogye, Population16: Red Korea Native Chicken, Population17: Brown Cornish, Population18: White Korea Native Chicken, Population19: Yellow Korea Native Chicken, Population20: Ross, Population21: Woorimatdag 1, Population22: Woorimatdag 2.

Fig. 3. Neighbor - Joining (NJ) tree show the genetic distances among the 22 breeds using Nei's D_A genetic distance on the allele frequencies from 12 microsatellite loci. The population acronyms are as follows; Arbor Acres (AB), Cobb (COBB), Hanhyup A L (HA), Hanhyup 3 (HCC), Hy-line Brown (HL), Hanhyup Z (HZ), Lohmann brown (LO), Rhode Island Red C (NC), Rhode Island Red D (ND), Leghorn F (NF), Gray Korea Native Chicken (NG), Black Cornish (NH), Leghorn K (NK), Black Korea Native Chicken (NL), Ogye (NO), Red Korea Native Chicken (NR), Brown Cornish (NS), White Korea Native Chicken (NW), Yellow Korea Native Chicken (NY), Ross (ROSS), Woorimatdag1 (WM), Woorimatdag2 (WMT).

NF) breeds that are clearly separated from other groups. Overall, it was confirmed that results similar to those of PCoA appeared.

The genetic divergences among the 22 chicken breeds based on allele frequencies were calculated according to DA genetic distance. The phylogenetic relationships among these 22 chicken breeds were determined using the neighbor-joining tree (Fig. 3). The genetic distances of 22 chicken breeds were in the range of 0.0515 (HL and LO) to 0.726 (HA and NK). The HL and LO were grouped into the same branch. Thus, the relationship between PCoA and FCA was very similar.

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to analysis the genetic diversity and population structure through 12 MS markers for Korea Chicken 22 breeds.

F-statistic were estimated in a fixation index as F_{st} , F_{it} and F_{is} among the 12 MS markers. The estimated mean value of the F_{st} , F_{it} and F_{is} were 0.183, 0.231 and 0.058, respectively. The five out of 12 markers named ADL0293, LEI0094, MCW0029, MCW0123 and MCW0145 showed a negative number (Table 1). However, all the others

showed a positive number. The F_{is} represents a degree of nonrandom mating (deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium) (Suh et al., 2014). And the expected probability of identity values of 12 MS markers were calculated in random individuals (PI), random half-sib ($PI_{half-sibs}$) and random sibs (PI_{sibs}), which were estimated as 3.65×10^{-17} , 1.13×10^{-12} and 2.81×10^{-6} , respectively. Overall, the total expected probability (PE) of identity values was 98.11% for the discrimination of Korean chicken breeds.

White leghorn (NF, NK) exhibited a lower degree of genetic diversity [NF: $MNA = 3.75$, $H_{Exp} = 0.468$, $H_{Fst} = 0.392$, $PIC = 0.413$; NK: $MNA = 3.92$, $H_{Exp} = 0.471$, $H_{Obs} = 0.366$, $PIC = 0.419$] than all other breeds in all measures of genetic diversity whereas a high degree of diversity was observed in ROSS ($MNA = 7.00$, $H_{Exp} = 0.710$, $H_{Obs} = 0.683$, $PIC = 0.666$) (Table 2). Heterozygosity was observed for White leghorn as quite low compared to other breeds which may be due to inbreeding among closely related breeds. In previous study, even though they have been obtained with different marker sets, white leghorn exhibited a lower degree of genetic diversity ($MNA = 3.43$, $H_{Exp} = 0.416$, $H_{Obs} = 0.326$, $PIC = 0.371$) (Suh et al., 2014). The current result was similar to the one reported by Suh et al. (2014) and another study, the values for H_{Exp} and PIC reported by Kong et al. (2006) for Korean chicken breeds ($H_{Exp} = 0.630$ and $PIC = 0.552$), Suh et al. (2014) for Korean chicken breeds ($H_{Exp} = 0.696$ and $PIC = 0.653$), Seo et al. (2017) for Korean chicken breeds ($H_{Exp} = 0.694$ and $PIC = 0.650$) and Choi et al. (2019) for Korean chicken breeds ($H_{Exp} = 0.620$ and $PIC = 0.558$) were almost similar to the values obtained for the present analysis. These results indicated Korean chicken breeds have kept a high level of genetic diversity.

Fig. 1 illustrates the population relationships based on PCoA using individual multilocus genotypes of the 12 MS markers. Clearly, based on the first component, Leghorn (NF, NK) was confirmed to be separated from the other groups. Cornish (NS, NH) was confirmed near the KNC, HL and LO by the second component. And it showed that HL and LO are genetically very close by the variance of first and second components. And the neighbor network analysis of the 22 breeds confirmed the FCA results as the Korean chicken breeds segregated in a similar pattern (Fig. 1, 2). As a result of checking the genetic distance between groups by phylogenetic tree, it was confirmed to be the nearest genetic distance (0.0515) for HL and LO and the farthest genetic distance (0.726) for HA and NK (Fig. 3).

This study is the analysis based on the 12 MS marker polymorphisms of the genetic diversity in the 22 Korean chicken breeds. Our results indicated that these multiplex PCR marker sets will have considerable applications in population genetic structure analysis. In addition, since the MS markers in this study are highly polymorphic, they can also be applied for the conservation, traceability and future improvement of these Korean chicken breeds.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we analysed the genetic diversity and population structure through 12 microsatellite (MS) markers for 22 Korean Chicken breeds. The reliability and power of identification using 12 MS markers were improved, and the genetic diversity and probability of individual discrimination were confirmed through statistical analysis. As a result of the genetic distance between groups by phylogenetic tree, it was confirmed to be the nearest genetic distance (0.0515) for Hy-line Brown (HL) and Lohman Brown (LO) and the farthest genetic distance (0.726) for HanHyup A (HA) and Leghorn K (NK).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ETHICS APPROVAL

The study was approved by the Hankyong National University Animal Ethics Committee (No. 2018-2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Golden Seed Project, funded by Korea Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture and Forestry (IPET) [PJ01282005202101 (213010055WT251)].

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: HSK

Data curation: YK, JHY, JS

Formal analysis: YK, JHY, SJM

Funding acquisition: HSK

Investigation: YK, JHY
 Methodology: YK, JHY, HSK
 Project administration: HSK
 Resources: HSK
 Software: YK
 Supervision: HSK
 Validation: SJM, JS, HSK
 Visualization: YK, JHY, JS
 Writing - original draft: YK, JS
 Writing - review & editing: SJM, JS, HSK

AUTHOR'S POSITION AND ORCID NO.

Kim Y, Doctor's Course,
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8459-0519>
 Yun JH, Masters's Course,
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4558-2337>
 Moon SJ, Master's Degree
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5716-3407>
 Seong J, PhD,
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0956-995X>
 Kong HS, Professor,
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1144-016X>

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material can be found via <https://doi.12750/JARB.36.3.154>.

REFERENCES

- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F. 2003. GENETIX version 4.04. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Université de Montpellier II, France.
- Berthouly C, Bed'Hom B, Tixier-Boichard M, Chen CF, Lee YP, Laloë D, Legros H, Verrier E, Rognon X. 2008. Using molecular markers and multivariate methods to study the genetic diversity of local European and Asian chicken breeds. *Anim. Genet.* 39:121-129.
- Botstein D, White RL, Skolnick M, Davis RW. 1980. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 32:314-331.
- Cheng HH and Crittenden LB. 1994. Microsatellite markers for genetic mapping in the chicken. *Poult. Sci.* 73:539-546.
- Choi NR, Seo DW, Jemaa SB, Sultana H, Heo KN, Jo C, Lee JH. 2015. Discrimination of the commercial Korean native chicken population using microsatellite markers. *J. Anim. Sci. Technol.* 57:5.
- Choi SS, Seo JH, Han JM, Seong J, Lee JH, Kong HS. 2019. The development of multiplex PCR microsatellite marker sets for Korean chicken breeds. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 18:492-498.
- Crooijmans RP, Groen AF, Van Kampen AJ, Van der Beek S, Van der Poel JJ, Groenen MA. 1996. Microsatellite polymorphism in commercial broiler and layer lines estimated using pooled blood samples. *Poult. Sci.* 75:904-909.
- Heo KN, Choo HJ, Seo BY, Park MN, Jung KC, Hwangbo J, Kim HK, Hong EC, Seo OS, Kang BS. 2011. Investigation of TYR and MC1R polymorphisms in Korean native chickens and the commercial chickens. *CNU J. Agric. Sci.* 38:465-471.
- Hillel J, Groenen MA, Tixier-Boichard M, Korol AB, David L, Kirzhner VM, Burke T, Barre-Dirie A, Crooijmans RP, Elo K, Feldman MW, Freidlin PJ, Mäki-Tanila A, Oortwijn M, Thomson P, Vignal A, Wimmers K, Weigend S. 2003. Biodiversity of 52 chicken populations assessed by microsatellite typing of DNA pools. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 35:533-557.
- Jung JS, Seong J, Lee GH, Kim Y, An JH, Yun JH, Kong HS. 2021. Genetic diversity and relationship of Halla horse based on polymorphisms in microsatellites. *J. Anim. Reprod. Biotechnol.* 36:76-81.
- Kong HS, Oh JD, Lee JH, Jo KJ, Sang BD, Choi CH, Kim SD, Lee SJ, Yeon SH, Jeon GJ, Lee HK. 2006. Genetic variation and relationships of Korean native chickens and foreign breeds using 15 microsatellite markers. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:1546-1550.
- Park MN, Hong EC, Kang BS, Kim HK, Kim JH, Na SH, Chae HS, Seo OS, Han JY, Jeong JH, Hwangbo J. 2010. Chemical composition and meat quality of crossbred Korean native chickens (KNC). *Korean J. Poult. Sci.* 37:415-421.
- Park SDE. 2001. The Excel microsatellite toolkit (version 3.1). Animal Genomics Laboratory, University College Dublin, Ireland. <http://animalgenomics.ucd.ie/sdepark/ms-toolkit/>
- Roh HJ, Kim KW, Lee J, Jeon D, Kim SC, Ko YG, Mun SS, Lee HJ, Lee JH, Oh DY, Byeon JH, Cho CY. 2019. Genetic diversity of Korean native chicken populations in DAD-IS database using 25 microsatellite markers. *Korean J. Poult. Sci.* 46:65-75.
- Saitou N and Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4:406-425.
- Seo D, Lee DH, Choi N, Sudrajat P, Lee SH, Lee JH. 2018. Estimation of linkage disequilibrium and analysis of genetic diversity in Korean chicken lines. *PLoS One* 13:e0192063.
- Seo DW, Hoque MR, Choi NR, Sultana H, Park HB, Heo KN, Kang BS, Lim HT, Lee SH, Jo C, Lee JH. 2013. Discrimination of Korean native chicken lines using fifteen selected microsatellite markers. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 26:316-322.
- Seo JH, Lee JH, Kong HS. 2017. Assessment of genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of Korean native chicken breeds using microsatellite markers. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 30:1365-1371.
- Seo JH, Oh JD, Lee JH, Seo D, Kong HS. 2015. Studies on genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationships of Korean native chicken using the microsatellite marker. *Korean J. Poult. Sci.* 42:15-26.

- Suh S, Sharma A, Lee S, Cho CY, Kim JH, Choi SB, Kim H, Seong HH, Yeon SH, Kim DH, Ko YG. 2014. Genetic diversity and relationships of Korean chicken breeds based on 30 microsatellite markers. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 27:1399-1405.
- Tantia MS, Vijn RK, Mishra B, Kumar STB, Arora R. 2006. Multilocus genotyping to study population structure in three buffalo populations of India. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 19:1071-1078.
- Weir BS and Cockerham CC. 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* 38:1358-1370.
- Wright DJ. 1965. General multiplicity theory. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* s3-15:269-288.